

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

MERRIMACK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT

Docket No. 06-C-225

Timothy Hallam

v.

Shawn Stone and Todd Connor

\*\*\*\*\*

Docket No. 06-C-224

Joseph Laramie

v.

Shawn Stone and Todd Connor

VERDICT FORM

1. Do you find that the evidence establishes that it is more likely than not that the defendants intentionally and improperly interfered with Timothy Hallam's employment relationship with the Department of Corrections?

Shawn Stone	<u>  X  </u>	<u>          </u>
	Yes	No

Todd Connor	<u>  X  </u>	<u>          </u>
	Yes	No

2. Do you find that the evidence establishes that it is more likely than not that the defendants intentionally placed Timothy Hallam in a false light publicly?

Shawn Stone	<u>  X  </u>	<u>          </u>
	Yes	No

Todd Connor	<u>  X  </u>	<u>          </u>
	Yes	No

A no answer to both questions 1 and 2 with respect to both defendants constitutes a finding for the defendants with regard to the claim of the plaintiff Timothy Hallam. If you have answered no to both questions 1 and 2 with respect to both defendants, you do not need to answer any more questions with respect to the claim of Timothy Hallam, and you should skip to question 5. If you have answered yes to either question 1 or 2 with respect to one or both defendants, proceed to question 3 and 4.

3. Please state the full amount (in words, not numbers), which you consider, on the evidence, Timothy Hallam should receive as compensatory damages.

ONE MILLION THREE HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLAR  
NO CENT.

4. Please state the full amount, if any, (in words, not numbers), which you consider, on the evidence, Timothy Hallam should receive as enhanced damages.

N/A

5. Do you find that the evidence establishes that it is more likely than not that the defendants intentionally and improperly interfered with Joseph Laramie's employment relationship with the Department of Corrections?

Shawn Stone	<u>X</u>	_____
	Yes	No

Todd Connor	<u>X</u>	_____
	Yes	No

6. Do you find that the evidence establishes that it is more likely than not that the defendants intentionally placed Joseph Laramie in a false light publicly?

Shawn Stone	<u>X</u>	_____
	Yes	No

Todd Connor	<u>X</u>	_____
	Yes	No

A no answer to both questions 5 and 6 with respect to both defendants constitutes a finding for the defendants with regard to the claim of the plaintiff

Joseph Laramie. If you have answered no to both questions 5 and 6 with respect to both defendants, you do not need to answer any more questions with respect to the claim of Joseph Laramie, and you do not need to answer any more questions. If you have answered yes to either question 5 or 6 with respect to one or both defendants, proceed to question 7 and 8.

7. Please state the full amount (in words, not numbers), which you consider, on the evidence, Joseph Laramie should receive as compensatory damages.

Six HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND DOLLARS  
NO CENTS

8. Please state the full amount, if any, (in words, not numbers), which you consider, on the evidence, Joseph Laramie should receive as enhanced damages.

N/A

Date: May 19, 2008

John C. Rando  
Foreperson signature